

The China Mail.

Established February, 1845.

VOL. XXXVII. No. 5652.

號五廿月八年一十八百八千一英

HONGKONG, THURSDAY, AUGUST 25, 1881.

日一初月七閏年己辛

PRICE, \$24 PER ANNUM.

AGENTS FOR THE CHINA MAIL.

LONDON:—F. ALGAR, 11 & 12, Coleman's Lane, London Street, E.C. GOSBORNE STREET & Co., 39, Cornhill. GORDON & GORDON, Ludgate Circus, E.C. BATES HENDY & Co., 37, Watlington, E.C. SAMUEL DRAGON & Co., 150 & 154, Leadenhall Street.

PARIS AND EUROPE:—LEON DE ROY, 19, Rue Montmartre, Paris.

NEW YORK:—ANDREW WIND, 133, Nassau Street.

AUSTRALIA, TASMANIA, AND NEW ZEALAND:—GORDON & GORDON, Melbourne and Sydney.

SAN FRANCISCO AND AMERICAN PORTS generally:—BEAN & BLACK, San Francisco.

SINGAPORE, STRAITS, &c.:—SAYLE & Co., Singapore. O. HEINZEL & Co., Manila.

CHINA:—MAGNUS, Messrs A. DE MEZIO & Co., Szechuan, Canton, &c. AMOY, WILSON, NICHOLS & Co., Fookow, HEDGE & Co., Shanghai, LANE, CRAWFORD & Co., and KELLY & WALSH, Yokohama, LANE, CRAWFORD & Co.

Banks.

ORIENTAL BANK CORPORATION.

(Incorporated by Royal Charter.)

Paid-up Capital, £1,500,000.

RATES OF INTEREST ALLOWED ON DEPOSITS.

At 3 months' notice 3% per annum.

" 6 " " 4 " " "

" 12 " " 5 " " "

Current Accounts kept on Terms which may be learnt on application.

GEO. O. SCOTT, Acting Manager.

Oriental Bank Corporation, Hongkong, September 4, 1879.

HONGKONG & SHANGHAI BANKING CORPORATION.

Paid-up Capital, 5,000,000 Dollars.

RESERVE FUND, 1,000,000 Dollars.

COURT OF DIRECTORS.

Chairman—A. MOLLER, Esq.

Deputy Chairman—H. L. DALRYMPLE, Esq.

HON. E. R. BELLIOR, Esq.

H. DE C. FORBES, Esq.

F. D. SARNOON, Esq.

H. HOFFER, Esq.

Chief Manager, HONGKONG, THOMAS JACKSON, Esq.

Shanghai, EVAN CAMERON, Esq.

LONDON BANKERS—London and County Bank.

HONGKONG.

INTEREST ALLOWED.

On Current Deposit Account at the rate of 2 per cent. per annum on the daily balance.

For Fixed Deposits:—

For 3 months, 3 per cent. per annum.

" 6 " 4 " " "

" 12 " 5 " " "

LOCAL BILLS DISCOUNTED.

Credits granted on approved Securities and every description of Banking and Exchange business transacted.

Drafts, granted on London, and the chief commercial places in Europe, India, Australia, America, China and Japan.

T. JACKSON, Chief Manager.

Offices of the Corporation, No. 1, Queen's Road East.

COMPTOIR D'ESCOMPTE DE PARIS.

(Incorporated 7th & 18th March, 1848.)

RECOGNISED BY THE INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION OF 30th APRIL, 1862.

CAPITAL FULLY PAID-UP, £3,200,000.

RESERVE FUND, £800,000.

HEAD OFFICE—14, RUE BERGÈRE, PARIS.

AGENCIES AND BRANCHES at: LONDON, BOULON, SAN FRANCISCO, MARSEILLE, BORNEO, HONGKONG, LYONS, CALCUTTA, HANKOW, NANTES, SHANGHAI, FOOKOW, MELBOURNE, and SYDNEY.

LONDON BANKERS.

THE BANK OF ENGLAND.

THE UNION BANK OF LONDON.

Messrs C. J. HAMBERG & SON.

The Hongkong Agency receives Fixed Deposits on Terms to be ascertained on application, grants Drafts and Credits on all parts of the World, and transacts every description of Banking Exchange Business.

E. SCHWEBLIN, Agent, Hongkong.

Hongkong, April 12, 1881.

Notices of Firms.

NOTICE.

M. & J. CRUTCH is authorized to sign our Press Notices.

REISS & Co.

Hongkong, 11th July, 1881.

NOTICE.

THE Undersigned have been appointed SOLE AGENTS for the Sale of their GOODS by Messrs KYNOCHE & Co. of WITTON, near Birmingham.

MEYER & Co.

Hongkong, August 12, 1881.

Auctions.

PUBLIC AUCTION.

THE Undersigned have received instructions to Sell by Public Auction, on SATURDAY,

the 27th August, 1881, at 2 p.m., at the COSMOPOLITAN CLUB, No. 15A, Staunton Street (opposite the "Union Church"),

The whole of the HOUSEHOLD FURNITURE, &c., comprising:—

SOFA, EASY CHAIRS, BOOK CASES, CARD TABLES, CHAIRS, MIRRORS, GASOLERS, CHROMO-LITHOGRAPHS and OIL PAINTING, DINING TABLE, BAR, GLASS and CHOCOLATE WARE, WARDROBES, WASHSTANDS, &c., &c.

A COTTAGE PIANO.

ONE STEEL-PLATE ENGLISH BILLIARD TABLE, with BALLS, CUES, &c.

ONE AMERICAN CAROM TABLE, complete, by FAYLAND.

ONE AMERICAN CAROM TABLE, complete, by F. STRAHLER & Co.

TWO BOWLING ALLEYS, with New BALLS and PINS.

The whole of the OFFICE FURNITURE, ONE PARLOUR and ONE COOKING STOVE.

Also, The entire Stock of Very SUPERIOR WINES—CHAMPAGNE, BEER, &c., &c., &c. Catalogues will be issued.

TERMS.—As customary.

EOA DA SILVA & Co., Auctioneers.

Hongkong, August 17, 1881.

AUCTION OF ELEGANT EUROPEAN AND AMERICAN HOUSEHOLD FURNITURE, &c.

LANE, CRAWFORD & Co. have received instructions from Dr. EAST-LAKE, to Sell by Public Auction, at his Residence, No. 14, Albany Road, on

MONDAY, the 29th Instant, at 2 o'clock p.m.,—

The whole of his Valuable HOUSEHOLD FURNITURE, comprising:—

EUROPEAN AND AMERICAN DRAWING-ROOM SUITES, CROTONNE and REP-COVERED, CHIFFONIER, MARBLE-TOPPED MIRRORS, CLOCKS, CHAIRS, TABLES, LAMPS, PICTURES, &c., &c.

SOLID OAK-CARVED DINING TABLE, CHAIRS, HADGONY SIDEBORDS, GLASS and ELBOW-PLATED WARE, &c.

MARQUETTES, BRASS and IRON BEDSTEADS, with SPRING MATTRESSES, SOLID MARBLED WARDROBES, WASHSTANDS and SERVICES, DRESSING TABLES and TOILET MIRRORS, BED-ROOM CHAIRS, &c.

A BOURBOIS GRAND PIANO, by RACHAIS and Co., made expressly for the climate and quite new.

A Valuable PARLOUR ORGAN.

A Fine Old CREMONA VIOLIN.

A Large and Valuable Collection of SHELS and CURIOS.

Etc., Etc., Etc.

Catalogues will be issued, and the Furniture will be on view on Saturday, the 27th Instant.

TERMS.—As usual.

LANE, CRAWFORD & Co.

Hongkong, August 20, 1881.

For Sale.

FOR SALE.

J. & B. LAURENT FRERES' Best

COGNAC, No. 1 Gold Capsule.

Do. Do. No. 1 Silver Capsule.

AND SEWARD & CO.'S CLARETS.

CHATEAU D'AY MOUQUON in Quarts.

AND, LAROSE in Pints and Quarts.

Also, FLOWER & SONS' Bottled ALE.

Apply to DOUGLAS LAPRAIK & Co.

Hongkong, August 3, 1881.

JOHN BAZLEY WHITE & BROTHERS' PORTLAND CEMENT.

Sole Agents for China, HOLLIDAY, WISE & Co.

Hongkong, June 13, 1881.

FOR SALE.

JULES MUMM & Co.'s

CHAMPAGNE, No. 1 Gold Capsule.

Quarts, £10 per doz. Case.

Pints, £17 per doz.

GIBB, LIVINGSTON & Co.

Hongkong, February 2, 1880.

FOR SALE.

A Valuable LEASEHOLD PROPERTY

situated in the QUEEN'S ROAD CENTRAL, consisting of FOUR ROOMS suitable for DWELLING, and THREE ROOMS suitable for OFFICES. Also GODOWN capable of holding about 600 Tons.

The GROUND FLOOR is at present in the occupation of Messrs KELLY & WALSH.

For further Particulars, apply to J. Y. VERNON,

or, BRERETON & WOTTON,

Hongkong, July 20, 1881.

FOR SALE.

VALVOLINE CYLINDER OIL.

THIS OIL is a lubricant for the Valves and Cylinders of Steam Engines, and is free from the objections which exist against the use of tallow or vegetable oils.

J. M. ARMSTRONG.

Hongkong, July 22, 1881.

FOR SALE.

ABOUT 2,000 lbs. MINE & RICHARD'S

Extra-Long Metal BOURGEOIS TYPE, No. 19, (somewhat worn but in fairly good condition).

Apply to Office of this Paper.

Hongkong, July 22, 1881.

For Sale.

LANE, CRAWFORD & Co.

HAVE JUST RECEIVED FOR SALE—FRESH HOUSEHOLD STORES and GROCERIES from CHORSE & BLACKWELL, J. MOIR & SONS, HUNTLEY & PALMER, &c., &c., &c.

FRENCH JAMS and ISIGNY BUTTER.

WINE, SPIRITS, &c.

CLARETS—MEDOC, St. EMILION, HAUT TAULENCE, LA ROSE, LATOUR, &c.

SHERRIES—SACCONE'S (celebrated), PORTS—HUNT'S, OUR CELEBRATED "R."

BURGUNDY, CHAMPAGNE, and HOCK.

LIQUEURS—CHARTREUSE, MARASCHINO and NOYAU.

SUMMER DRINKS in Great Variety.

WHISKY—BULLOCK'S SCOTCH S.P.H., KINAHAN'S IRISH.

BRANDIES—COGNAC, EXSHAW'S, and HENDRY'S.

NEW NOVELS (as they appear).

ACCOUNT and OFFICE BOOKS by the Best Makers.

A Large Stock of FANCY ARTICLES, New CABINET PHOTOS.

SILVER SWING and STAND LAMPS (large stock).

LIGHTNING CONDUCTORS.

BILLIARD CUES, TIPS, CHALK, &c.

New Shapes in MEERSCHAUM and BRIAR PIPES. TOBACCO and POUCHES.

MANILA, PENANG, and HAVANNA CIGARS.

ELECTRO-PLATED GOODS in New Designs.

WHEATLEY'S REVOLVERS, and all kinds of SPORTING GEAR.

LAWN GRASS SEED, AMERICAN FLOWER and VEGETABLE SEEDS.

SUTTON'S SEEDS to arrive per Gleniffer.

SPECIAL—THE REVISED NEW TESTAMENT.

Hongkong, August 2, 1881.

To Let.

TO LET.

No. 2, Old BAILEY STREET.

And, No. 6, PEDDAR'S HILL.

Also, No. 9, SEYMOUR TERRACE.

Apply to DAVID SASSOON, SONS & Co.

Hongkong, July 18, 1881.

TO LET.

No. 4, MORRISON HILL.

Apply to T. G. GLOVER.

No. 7, Queen's Road Central.

Hongkong, July 26, 1881.

GODOWNS-TO LET.

FRAYA EAST and WANGHAI ROAD.

For Particulars, apply to SIEMSEN & Co.

Hongkong, January 21, 1881.

Intimations.

NOTICE.

PERSONS having CLAIMS against the

ESTATE of ALBERT HAJEE HASSAN are

requested to present their Claims to the

Undersigned for Payment, within 15 days

from date, as no Claims will be entertained

after that date.

HASSAN HAJEE CASSUM,

Attorney of the said ALBERT HAJEE HASSAN.

Hongkong, August 11, 1881.

HONGKONG AND WHAMPOA DOCK COMPANY, LIMITED.

NOTICE TO SHAREHOLDERS.

THE Half-Yearly MEETING of SHARE-

HOLDERS will be held in the Of-

fices of the Company, No. 12, Fraya

Central, on MONDAY, August 29th, 1881,

at 3.30 p.m., for the purpose of receiving

the Report of the Directors and a State-

ment of Accounts to 30th June, 1881.

The Transfer BOOKS of the Company

will be CLOSED from the 15th to the 29th

Instant, inclusive.

By Order of the Board of Directors.

R. COOKE,

Acting Secretary.

Hongkong, August 8, 1881.

NOTICE.

THE Public are informed that the Scrip

for SHARES numbered 6640 to 6643

in the HONGKONG AND WHAMPOA DOCK Co.

has been STOLEN, and Application has

been made for same to be Cancelled.

The Public are informed that the Scrip

for SHARES No. 43, Nos. 297 to 301, and

Nos. 756 to 760 in the HONGKONG ICE Co.

has been STOLEN, and Application has

been made for same to be Cancelled.

Hongkong, August 16, 1881.

CHINA SUGAR REFINING COMPANY, LIMITED.

THE General Agents, with the Approval

of the Consulting Committee, beg to

intimate that an INTERIM DIVIDEND

of FIVE PER CENT. upon the Paid-up Capital

of the Company, will be Payable on the 15th

August Next, to all Shareholders on the

Register at that date.

The Transfer BOOKS of the Company

will be CLOSED from the 1st to 14th

Proximo, inclusive.

JARDINE, MATHESON & Co.,

General Agents.

Hongkong, July 20, 1881.

THE "FAR EAST."

THIS ISSUE OF 1878 WANTED.

Apply at this Office.

Hongkong, October 4, 1880.

AH-YON & Co.

SHIP'S COMPADORE, STEVEDORE,

BALANCEE AND WATER SUPPLIER,

Hongkong and Whampoa, of the same

Proprietors.

Keep on hand and for Sale, well assorted

Chinese's Stores and Coal of all kinds.

Shipping supplied at the shortest notice with

all kinds of Ballast and fresh Pro-

visions of moderate charges.

F 55, WING HING STREET.

Hongkong, June 15, 1881.

Intimations.

NOTICE.

LESSEES of LOTS on the British Set-

tlement of SHAMSHU, CANTON, are

humbly requested to Pay the AMOUNTS due

on their Several Lots as ANNUAL GROUND

RENT into H. M. CONSULATE, on or before

the 4th September, 1881.

All Lots on which Ground Rent has not

For Sale.

MacEWEN, FRICKEL & Co.
HAVE RECEIVED FOR SALE,
The French Mail Steamer
"Amazona."

Finest FRENCH BUTTER in Kilo Bottles.
NOLLY PRATT'S VERMOUTH.

Regulation LIFE BELTS.
Do. LIFE BUOYS.
BATH BRICKS, SEED BLACKING.
KREBUKA'S PAINTS AND OILS.

Es S. S. "Ulysses."
Fine New Season's CUMSHAW TEA, in
5 and 10 catty Boxes.
BREAKFAST CONGOU @ 25 cents p. lb.

At Wholesale Prices.
200 kegs Fine American FURNISHING
NAILS, Nos. 2 to 12.
25 " American SPIKES, 4 inches to
7 inches.
50 barrels Prime American MEAT PORK.
60 " Philadelphia Extra BEEF.
200 " Finest Strained ROSEIN.
300 " City BUTTER.
100 barrels Dried TURPENTINE.
600 cases FLORIDA WATER.
15 " LAMP GLASS.
50 cases American CLOCKS.

COTTON DUCK, Canned BEEF, MUTTON,
OYSTERS, LOBSTERS, CORN
TOMATOES, Corned BEEF, Condensed
MILK, Tomato CATSUP, HANDSPICKS,
OAKUM, ASH OARS, MAPLE, ASH,
and White Pine PLANKS.

Es "Abbie Carter."
Florence COOKING STOVES,
STEAMERS AND BRAILERS.
CORN BROOMS.
India Rubber KNEE BOOTS.
AGATE WARE, in every variety of
Kitchen Utensils.
Charter OX COOKING STOVES.
Spartan COOKING STOVES.
BOURBON WHISKY.

Es Steamers via Suez Canal.
DOVOTIA'S OFFICE CHAIRS.
Messrs GARNER & Co.'s PERFORATED
VEILS.
HIGH REVOLVING OFFICE CHAIRS.
HIGH-BACK OFFICE CHAIRS.
ROCKING FOLDING CHAIRS.
DINING-ROOM CHAIRS.
LADIES' ROCKING CHAIRS.

The above we can highly recommend for
office and domestic use, being admirably
adapted to this climate.

Es "Gleniffer."
CROSSE & BLACKWELL'S AND OTHER
HOUSEHOLD STORES.

TESSONDAU'S DESSERT FRUITS.
SAVOIR FATE.
GAME PATE.
PORK PATE.
OX PALATES.
HUNG (Hambro) BEEF.

HUNTLEY & PALMER'S BISCUITS.
FRUITES FOR LOSES.
SHERBET.
COCCOATINA.
VAN HOUTEN'S COCOA.
EPPE'S COCOA.
ROBINSON'S GROOMS.
GELATINE.

Russia OX-TONGUES.
French PLUMS.
FAYE DE FOIE GRAS.
SARDINES.
Horn TONGUE and
Chicken SALSAGE.

Breakfast BACON.
ASPARAGUS.
MACCARONI.
VERMICELLI.
MINCEPIES.
MEATS.

SOUPS, &c., &c.
COPYING PRESSES.

EX AMERICAN MAIL.

Eastern and Californian CHEESE.
Boneless CODFISH.
Prime HAMS and BACON.
Russian CAVIAR.
Eagle Brand Condensed MILK.
PEACH, and APPLE BUTTER.
Pickled OX-TONGUES.
Family PIG-PORK in kegs and pieces.
Pargum MACKEREL in 5 lb cans.
Beau Ideal SALMON in 5 lb cans.
Cutting's Dessert FRUITES in 24 lb cans.
Assorted Canned VEGETABLES.
" Potted SAUSAGE and Sausage
MEAT.
" Stuffed PEPPERS.
" Assorted PICKLES.
" MINCEPIES.

COMB MONEY in Original Frames.
Richardson & Robbin's Celebrated Potted
MEATS.
Richardson & Robbin's Curried OYSTERS.
Lunch TONGUE.
Assorted American SYRUPS, for Sum-
mer Drinks.
McCarty's Sugar LEMONADE.
Clam CHOWDER.
Codfish BALLS.
Green TURTLE in 24 lb cans.

California
CRACKER
COMPANY'S BISCUITS in 5 lb
tins, and loose.
Alphabetical BIS-
CUITS.
Fancy Sweet Mixed
BISCUITS.
Ginger CAKES.
Soda BISCUITS.
Oyster BISCUITS.

Cracked WHEAT.
OATMEAL.
HOATY.
CORNMEAL.
BUCKWHEAT FLOUR.
RYE MEAL.

SPECIAL SELECTED
CIGARS.

WINES, SPIRITS, BEER, AND
AERATED WATERS.

SHIPHANDLERS of every Description.
RIGGING and SAIL-MAKING promptly
executed.

Hongkong, August 11, 1881.

Mails.

Occidental & Oriental Steam-
Ship Company.

TAKING CARGO AND PASSENGERS
TO JAPAN, THE UNITED
STATES, MEXICO, CENTRAL AND
SOUTH AMERICA, AND EUROPE,
VIA
THE OVERLAND RAILWAYS,
AND
ATLANTIC & OTHER CONNECTING
STEAMERS.

THE S. S. "OCEANIC" will be despatched
for San Francisco via Yokohama,
on WEDNESDAY, the 31st Instant, 1881,
at 3 p.m.

Connection being made at Yokohama,
with Steamers from Shanghai and Japan
ports.

All Parcel Packages should be marked to
address in full; and same will be received
at the Company's Office, until 5 p.m. the
day previous to sailing.

A Reduction of 25 % made on all
RETURN PASSENGER ORDERS INSURED.

Consular Invoices to accompany Over-
land, Mexican, Central and South American
Cargo, should be sent to the Company's
Office addressed to the Collector of Customs,
San Francisco.

For further information as to Freight
or Passage, apply to the Agency of the
Company, No. 504, Queen's Road Central.
CHAS. H. HASWELL, Jr.,
Agent.

Hongkong, August 17, 1881.

STEAM FOR
SINGAPORE, PENANG, POINT DE
GALLE, ADEN, SUEZ,
PORT SAID, MALTA, GIBRALTAR,
BRINDISI, ANCONA, VENICE,
SOUTHAMPTON, AND LONDON.

BOMBAY, MADRAS, CALCUTTA, AND
AUSTRALIA.

N.B.—Cargo can be taken on through Bills
of Lading for BATAVIA, PERMAN, GULF
PORTS, MARSEILLES,
TRIESTE, HAMBURG, NEW YORK
AND BOSTON.

THE PENINSULAR AND ORIENTAL STEAM
NAVIGATION COMPANY'S Steamship
ANCONA, Captain E. G. STEAD, with
Her Majesty's Mails, will be despatched
from this port for LONDON, via BOMBAY,
and SUEZ CANAL, on THURSDAY, the
1st September, at 4 p.m.

Cargo will be received on board until
10 a.m. on the day of departure.

Parcels and Specie (Gold) at the Office
until 10 a.m. on the day of departure.

Silk and Valuables for Europe will be
transhipped at Point de Galle; Tea and
General Cargo for London will be conveyed
via Bombay without transhipment, arriving
one week later than by the ordinary direct
route via Galle.

For further Particulars, regarding
FREIGHT and PASSAGE, apply to the
PENINSULAR & ORIENTAL STEAM NAVIGATION
COMPANY'S Office, Hongkong.

The Contents and Value of Packages are
required to be declared prior to shipment.

Shippers are particularly requested to
note the terms and conditions of the Com-
pany's Black Bill of Lading.

A. McIVER, Superintendant.

Hongkong, August 22, 1881.

MITSU BISHI MAIL STEAMSHIP
COMPANY.

STEAM TO YOKOHAMA VIA KOBE
AND INLAND SEA.

THE S. S. TAKASAGO MARU, Capt.
YOUNG, due here on or about the
29th Instant, will be despatched as above
on SATURDAY, the 3rd September, at
Daylight.

Cargo received on board and Parcels at
the Office up to 6 p.m. of the 2nd September.
No Bill of Lading signed under \$2
Freight.

All Claims must be settled on board
before delivery is taken, otherwise they
will not be recognized.

RATES OF PASSAGE.

Cabin Steerage.

To KOBE, \$ 60 \$ 15

" YOKOHAMA & NAGASAKI, 75 20

SHANGHAI via YOKOHAMA, 120 40

" KOBE, 95 30

A Reduction is made on RETURN CARGO
PASSENGERS.

CARGO AND PASSENGERS for Nagasaki
will be transhipped to the Shanghai Mail
Steamer at Kobe.

For further Particulars, apply to the
Company's OFFICES, PRATA CENTRAL, West
Corner Pottinger Street.

H. J. H. TRIPP,
Agent.

Hongkong, August 24, 1881.

Insurances.

THE SOUTH BRITISH FIRE AND
MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY
OF NEW ZEALAND.

CAPITAL £1,000,000 (One Million Sterling).

Unlimited Liability of Shareholders.

THE Undersigned having been appointed
Agents for the above Company are
prepared to accept FIRE and MARINE
RISKS at Current Rates, allowing usual
Discounts.

GEO. R. STEVENS & Co.
—Hongkong, July 1, 1881.

THAMES and MERSEY MARINE
INSURANCE COMPANY,
LIMITED.

HEAD OFFICE—1, Royal Exchange
BUILDINGS, LONDON.

THE Undersigned having been appointed
Agents in Hongkong and China for
the above Company are prepared to accept
MARINE RISKS at Current Rates, allow-
ing usual Discounts.

ADAMSON, BELL & Co.,
Agents.

Hongkong, May 3, 1881.

Entertainment.

THE ENGLISH AND AMERICAN
VARIETY COMBINATION.

FOR THREE NIGHTS ONLY!

SATURDAY, MONDAY, &
TUESDAY NEXT.

The above-named Company embraces some
of the
LEADING GYMNASTS,
ACROBATS,
VAULTERS, and
PANTOMIMES,
from Europe and America, and are without
a doubt the finest Talent of their
kind, that has ever appeared
in ASIA.

LATE, THE PRINCIPAL ACROBATS AND
GYMNASTS OF CHARLES'S ROYAL ITALIAN
CIRCUS.

Which for three months last season in Cal-
cutta was visited by over four thousand
persons daily.

Prominent among the Company may be
mentioned
THE WALHALLA BROTHERS
from the
CRYSTAL PALACE, LONDON;
WALHALLA HALL, BERLIN.

MUSICAL CLOWNS,
ACROBATS EXTRAORDINARY,
PANTOMIMES, and
FRENCH VAULTERS, &c.

JEAN VERLITTE,
THE CELEBRATED
"FLYING TRAPEZIAN,"
Introducing
SUMMERSAULTS IN MID-AIR, PINOCHETTES,
&c.

This Act is very seldom done on account
of the great length it requires—at least
60 feet—to accomplish the feats done
between the Bars—Also in his unrivalled act
on the Balancing Trapeze, showing
the centre of gravity.

JOHN SMITH,
AMERICAN GREAT VAULTER, ACROBAT,
CLOWN, and PANTOMIME,
the only LOFTY SUMMERSAULTIST in the
World.

MR. J. S. LEOPOLD
in his Extraordinary and Original Act,
ON
THE TRIPLE HORIZONTAL
BARS.

Has performed this Beautiful GYMNASTIC
Act over Six thousand times through-
out Europe, America, Asia, and
Australia, and has gained for
himself well earned applause.

The above-named Artists will give their
FIRST PERFORMANCE
in the
CITY HALL,
ON
SATURDAY EVENING,
AND WILL REAPPEAR ON
MONDAY AND TUESDAY.

After which the Company will proceed to
SHANGHAI, en route to AMERICA.

For further Particulars see Hand Bills
and Programme.

Prices of Admission:
Reserved Seats, \$2.00.
Second Seats, \$1.00.
Third Seats, \$0.50.
Army and Navy in Uniform, Half-price.

Doors open at 8.30 o'clock. Performance
at prompt.

Hongkong, August 24, 1881.

Insurances.

YANGTSE INSURANCE
ASSOCIATION.

CAPITAL (Fully Paid-up).....£1,420,000.00

PERMANENT RESERVE.....£1,230,000.00

SPECIAL RESERVE FUND.....£1,288,936.17

TOTAL CAPITAL AND AC-
CUMULATIONS, 2nd
April, 1881.....£1,938,936.17

Directors.
F. B. FORBES, Esq., Chairman.
W. M. BOYD, Esq. Wm. MEYERINCK, Esq.
J. H. PINKVOSS, F. D. HITCH, Esq.
Esq.

HEAD OFFICE—SHANGHAI.
Messrs RUSSELL & Co., Secretaries.

LONDON BRANCH:
Messrs BARING BROTHERS & Co.,
Bankers.

RICHARD BLACKWELL, Esq., Agent,
68 and 69, Cornhill.

POLICIES granted on Marine Risks to all
parts of the World.

Subject to a Charge of 12 % for Interest
on Shareholders' Capital, all the Profits
of the UNDERWRITING BUSINESS are an-
nually distributed among all Contributors
of Business in proportion to the Premiums
paid by them.

RUSSELL & Co.,
Agents.

Hongkong, May 20, 1881.

LANCASHIRE INSURANCE
COMPANY.

(FIRE AND LIFE).

CAPITAL—TWO MILLIONS STERLING.

THE Undersigned are prepared to grant
POLICIES against the Risk of FIRE on
Buildings or on Goods stored therein, on
Goods on board Vessels and on Hulls of
Vessels in Harbour, at the usual Terms
and Conditions.

Proposals for Life Assurances will be re-
ceived, and transmitted to the Directors
for their decision.

If required, protection will be granted on
first class Lives up to £1000 on a Single
Life.

For Rates of Premiums, forms of pro-
posals or any other information, apply to
ARNHOLD, KARBURG & Co.,
Agents, Hongkong & Canton.

Hongkong, January 4, 1887.

To-day's Advertisements.

STEAM TO SHANGHAI
(Taking Cargo at through rates to NAGA-
SAKI and HOKO.)

The P. & O. S. N. Co.'s
Steamship
"Pekin"

will leave for the above
place at Noon on MONDAY, the 29th Inst.,
instead of as previously notified.

A. McIVER,
Superintendent.

P. & O. S. N. Co.'s Office,
Hongkong, August 25, 1881.

To-day's Advertisements.

PUBLIC AUCTION.

THE Undersigned has received instruc-
tions to Sell by Public Auction,
at the Godown of Mr. NOWROZE,

TO-MORROW,
the 26th Instant, at Noon, at the Godown
of Mr. NOWROZE,

50 BAGS CLOVES, and
12-BAGS BOMBAY COTTON YARN,
Ex Steamship "Avoca"

(More or less damaged by sea water).

For account of the concerned.

TERMS OF SALE.—Cash before delivery in
Mexican Dollars weighed at 7.1.7. All Lots
with all faults and errors of description, to
be at Purchaser's risk on the fall of the
hammer.

H. N. MODY,
Auctioneer.

Hongkong, August 25, 1881.

NOTICE.

COMPAGNIE DES MESSAGERIES
MARITIMES.

PAQUEBOT POSTE FRANCAIS.

The Co.'s Steamship
"Menaché,"
Commandant HOMERY,
will be despatched for
YOKOHAMA TO-MORROW, the 26th
Instant, at 4 p.m.

G. DE CHAMPEAUX,
Agent.

Hongkong, August 19, 1881.

NOTICE.

COMPAGNIE DES MESSAGERIES
MARITIMES.

PAQUEBOT POSTE FRANCAIS.

The Co.'s Steamship
"Anadyr,"
Commandant MAOZ,
will be despatched for
SHANGHAI on SATURDAY, the 27th
Instant, at 6 a.m.

G. DE CHAMPEAUX,
Agent.

Hongkong, August 25, 1881.

STEAMSHIP "ANADYR."

COMPAGNIE DES MESSAGERIES
MARITIMES.

NOTICE.

CONSIGNEES of Cargo per Steamship
"Anadyr," from London, in connec-
tion with the above Steamer, are hereby
informed that their Goods are being landed
and stored at their risk at the Company's
Godowns, whence delivery may be obtained
immediately after landing.

Optional Cargo will be forwarded on,
unless intimation is received from the Con-
signees, before 5 p.m. To-day, the 25th
Inst., requesting it to be landed here.

Bills of Lading will be countersigned by
the Undersigned.

Goods remaining unclaimed after THURS-
DAY, the 1st September, at Noon, will be
subject to rent and landing charges.

No Fire Insurance has been effected.

G. DE CHAMPEAUX,
Agent.

Hongkong, August 25, 1881.

Not Responsible for Debts.

Neither the Captain, the Agents, nor
Owners will be responsible for
any Debt contracted by the Officers or
Crew of the following Vessels, during
their stay in Hongkong Harbour.

ANIER HEAD, British steamer, Captain
Alfred Roper.—Douglas Lapruik & Co.

CARLOTTA, British barque, Captain J.
Trickey.—Geo. R. Stevens & Co.

CARMELITA & Ida, German barque, Capt.
E. G. Kotels.—Order.

C. F. SARGENT, American ship, Captain
D. H. Atherton.—Captain.

FLORENCE NIGHTINGALE, Br. buque, Capt.
A. McIntyre.—Arnhold, Karberg & Co.

HOOLY, American ship, Capt. W. Wal-
lace Foster.—Order.

INVINCIBLE, American ship, Captain Jas.
F. Skewes.—Borneo Company, Limited.

LEONIDAS, British ship, Capt. W. Frahn.
—Captain.

MELROSE, German barque, Captain Th.
Pilliger.—Melchers & Co.

MINERVA, German brig, Captain P.
Duhme.—Melchers & Co.

MYVANNY, British barkentine, Captain
H. Graybrooke.—Turner & Co.

NAUTILUS, German barque, Captain W.
Stolp.—Siemens & Co.

PENDEO, British steamer, Captain T.
Kenderdine.—Geo. R. Stevens & Co.

STAR OF INDIA, British barque, Capt. H.
D. Roe.—Adams, Bell & Co.

THE TWEED, British ship, Captain J. M.
Whyte.—Russell & Co.

WELLS, German steamer, Captain J. R.
Massmann.—Meyer & Co.

SHIPPING.

ARRIVALS.

Aug. 24, Ferdinand, German barque,
416, Westergaard, Bangkok Aug. 13, Gen-
eral.—WIELER & Co.

Aug. 24, Fortiger, British steamer, 376,
J. Alexander, Saigon Aug. 20, General.
—ARNHOLD, KARBURG & Co.

Aug. 25, Melopodia, British steamer,
1453, Fowler, Amoy Aug. 23, Tea.
—RUSSELL & Co.

Aug. 25, Hainan, British steamer, 278,
J. Speckly, Hainan Aug. 24, General.
—ARNHOLD, KARBURG & Co.

Aug. 25, Andover, French steamer, 3770,
Mao, Marseilles July 24, Naples 26,
Port Said 30, Suez, Aden, Galle Aug. 13,
Singapore 16, and Saigon 22, Mails and
General.—Messageries Maritimes.

Aug. 25, Prinz Heinrich, German steamer,
372, Hofmann, Shanghai Aug. 21, General.
—MEYER & Co.

DEPARTURES.

Aug. 25, Flamingo, for Tientsin.
25, Friederich, for Chiofo.
25, India, for Foochow.
25, Ping-on, for Hainan.
25, Renou, for Shanghai.
25, Adelaide, for Canton.
25, Morning Star, for Chiofo.
25, Amazona, for Marseilles, &c.
25, Charley, for Whampoa.
25, Diamante, for Amoy.
25, Cheong Hock Kian, for Swatow.
25, Cecilia, for Shanghai.

CLEARED.

To Hongkong, for Bangkok.
Nanma, for Coast Ports.
Bertha, for Chiofo.
Tademachue, for Shanghai.
C. F. Sargent, for San Francisco.
Nana, for Hainan.
Yutling, for Tientsin.

PASSENGERS.

SUPREME COURT. IN SUMMARY JURISDICTION. (Before His Honor the Acting Justice of the Peace, Mr. Justice, Esq.) Thursday, Aug. 25.

WICKING v. FRASER-SMITH.
This was a claim by Mr. Harry Wicking for \$1000 as damages for a libel published in the *Hongkong Telegraph*.

A jury consisting of Messrs H. G. James, J. A. Mosely and S. G. Bird were empanelled.

The Judge said that on looking at the pleadings it seemed that the matter might be brought to an amicable arrangement.

Mr. Hayllar said he thought that was impossible. The 6th plea set out a certain special case, out of which it was said that these articles were published, and the substantial question would be as to the truth of this libel. It would therefore be for his learned friend to begin.

The Judge said that in the paragraph mentioned it was stated that an article in question was published bona fide and without malice. If they could successfully maintain that it was a privileged communication, it was for the other side to show express malice.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

so that Mr. Fraser-Smith was perfectly justified in stating that Mr. de Souza never requested Mr. Wicking to look over his agreements, and never solicited his advice. His letter of the 7th June was one of those half truths which were sometimes worse than deliberate falsehoods. Again, the correspondence about the business of the *Telegraph* had originated with Mr. Wicking himself, and it was only after repeated pressure that Mr. de Souza would give him the papers at all.

Mr. Wicking informed Mr. de Souza, on the 10th of June, that he could not do the work he was asked to do for \$150, and then wrote a letter to Mr. Fraser-Smith, dated the 7th June, to the effect that owing to his desire for business he had made his estimate so absurdly low that he could not do it without loss, and that he had been informed by a great authority in the Colony that it could not be done under \$400 a month, and that he was extremely sorry as he had made arrangements to do so.

He hoped Mr. Smith would be able to make other arrangements. Mr. Smith replied that, after taking legal advice, he had learned that Mr. de Souza was bound to carry out the terms mentioned. He was quite willing to give the printer a guarantee that if any loss occurred to him he would make it up to him. On the strength of the agreement made, he had entered into arrangements for the publication of the paper on the 10th instant. In the event of Mr. de Souza failing to carry out his contract, he would at once take legal proceedings. As far as his friends Messrs Wicking and Bain were concerned he would have an opportunity of dealing with them in another place. As they saw, Mr. Fraser-Smith had threatened legal proceedings. Mr. de Souza had applied to him for legal advice, but unfortunately in the first instance did not bring the whole of his papers with him, and so the advice on the papers as they then stood was to the effect that he was not bound down to the particulars and details which were included in the written memorandum. Of course this was very soon put right, because when the whole of the papers were put forward, it was clear at once that Mr. de Souza had absolutely bound himself down to take the work for \$150, and that he was required to carry it out according to the terms of the agreement.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

Mr. Francis said that on the grounds which were stated in the body of the article, the doings of certain gentlemen, namely, Messrs Wicking, Bain and Robert Smith, had given his client reason to believe that they were acting together against his interests, and his position as a newspaper proprietor and publisher.

unintentionally and uncalled for; that the so-called estimate was worthy of the man who wrote it; that I would pay them every cent they could show that they had lost through their contract with me. Mr. Wicking, then suggested to Mr. Smith to undertake to print the paper for a week. I said such a proposal was ridiculous. He then repeated his advice not to have anything to do with it at all. Mr. Wicking then went outside. I went outside too; I felt inclined to do so.

Mr. Hayllar: I don't doubt.

Examination continued.—I told Mr. Wicking that he was a mean hound interfering in business that did not at all concern him. Mr. Wicking replied: "I am only doing this for you because I have an interest in him and have had something to say to his affairs and his father's." I told him I would publish the whole affair. He said I hope you will publish your estimate too. At that time I had not heard how Mr. Wicking came to be mixed up with it. I did not know then that he had any interest in the libel.

Mr. Francis: Had you any reason to believe that Mr. Wicking had any interest in the *China Mail*?
Question objected to.

Witness: I asked.—Why do you say this about your supposing that he represented the *China Mail*?
Defendant: It is generally known in the colony that Mr. Wicking and Mr. Bain are very great friends, and I have heard it reported that Mr. Wicking is generally believed that Mr. Wicking has a monetary interest in the *China Mail*. I honestly believed so at the time and have had no reason to change my opinion. I saw Mr. Souza that afternoon again; I advised him to consult a solicitor. The following day I wrote him the letter produced. (Letter read offering the guarantee &c.) It contained the passage,—"For your friends Mr. Wicking and Mr. Bain, I shall deal with them in another place." Another note read, from Mr. Souza to Mr. Wicking, stating that Mr. Fraser-Smith had been threatening a suit, and an endorsement by Mr. Wicking in two portions, as already given. Received on June 8th letter read, of that date now put in (letter read, stating that he had taken advice in this matter and would not do anything for the sum that had been offered for it. I repeatedly called on you (Mr. Francis) and I and Mr. Souza saw you together afterwards, and the result was that Souza agreed to carry out the original agreement, that is, I mean, the agreement was finally accepted.

The Court at this point rose for till at one o'clock.

On resuming, the examination of defendant was continued.—The publication of the *Telegraph* was commenced on June 15th and has since been continued on these terms. Mr. Francis asked Mr. Wicking what he had done for the month. It was from his statement to me then that I asserted it was \$134. I have since been furnished by Mr. Lobeck with a more detailed estimate from the 15th of June to the 15th of August, to the effect that Mr. Wicking was employed as a shopman in a store in Queen's Road.

The Judge asked on what basis this which was spoken of as a "return" but was headed an "estimate" was made up. Mr. Francis said that another paper had been circulated that his Excellency had taken 60 or 60 copies daily of the *Telegraph*. Mr. F. Smith was informed, although he did not know what truth there was in the statement, that this report had originated with Mr. Bain. At the outset Mr. Smith found from the information he could get, and from the papers laid before him that Mr. Wicking, who had no interest in Mr. Souza whatever, or in the *Telegraph*, was deliberately trying to prove the starting of this paper, without mentioning his advice to Mr. de Souza and putting before Mr. de Souza the authority of Mr. Bain, estimates which were utterly fallacious. An extract from Mr. de Souza's books for the month of July showed that the total cost for that month was \$150. This had first been stated at \$134. And was he justified in concluding that these men were working together for the same end? Mr. Fraser-Smith found himself misled and misled with the intention of injuring him before the public, and he believed this article was a libel, and he was entitled to sue for it. He was in strict conformity with the statement of his Counsel, and the letters comprising to some extent the negotiations as they had been referred to and already spoken of by Mr. Francis. When the letter from Mr. Wicking to Mr. Francis was put in, Mr. Francis said it was precisely the same as that from the copy of which he had read. The Judge asked whether it was the same as that which was published in the paper. He said that he had read a copy of the paper before the jury should have a copy of the paper before them.

Mr. Francis obtained the original letter from Mr. Souza, and it was handed in.

Mr. Hayllar said he desired it to be read. The postscript was not given in the letter, and was most important.

Mr. Francis said that Mr. Wicking had read from did not embody the postscript. The Judge said the postscript should be read then.

The postscript was read, as follows:—"P. S.—Have you considered the cost of—paper, say, \$80; gas, say, \$30; and printing, say, \$24; rent of room, say, \$25; ink, say, \$150; paper for proofs, say, \$25; ink, say, \$25; circulars, say, \$10; use of type, petty charges, &c.—H. W."

Examination of defendant continued.—While I was conversing with Mr. Souza, after the receipt of this letter, Mr. Wicking's boy came in. He asked Mr. Souza to send him a copy of the letter. He asked if that was his estimate. He said, "Yes, I took it to Mr. Bain for his opinion." I said it was an idiotic estimate. Mr. Wicking added that he had strongly advised Mr. Souza to have nothing to do with the thing; I said simply ruin him if he undertakes it. Mr. Souza said, "I don't want to make any money out of the contract; I don't want to be ruined. I want a newspaper to bring business to my place." Mr. Francis said, "I am Mr. Souza's uncle."

Mr. Wicking said, "He will be ruined if he takes the contract." Mr. Wicking said to Mr. Souza, "I advise you not to have anything to do with it." I said to Mr. Wicking, "You know, I suppose, that if he does not carry out his contract, if he does not proceed with it, I will take legal proceedings." I don't mean to make a fool of being all Hongkong. I had extremely advised the *Telegraph* to publish a paper. I then lost my temper a little, and said I considered his interference

unintentionally and uncalled for; that the so-called estimate was worthy of the man who wrote it; that I would pay them every cent they could show that they had lost through their contract with me. Mr. Wicking, then suggested to Mr. Smith to undertake to print the paper for a week. I said such a proposal was ridiculous. He then repeated his advice not to have anything to do with it at all. Mr. Wicking then went outside. I went outside too; I felt inclined to do so.

Mr. Hayllar: I don't doubt.

Examination continued.—I told Mr. Wicking that he was a mean hound interfering in business that did not at all concern him. Mr. Wicking replied: "I am only doing this for you because I have an interest in him and have had something to say to his affairs and his father's." I told him I would publish the whole affair. He said I hope you will publish your estimate too. At that time I had not heard how Mr. Wicking came to be mixed up with it. I did not know then that he had any interest in the libel.

Mr. Francis: Had you any reason to believe that Mr. Wicking had any interest in the *China Mail*?
Question objected to.

Witness: I asked.—Why do you say this about your supposing that he represented the *China Mail*?
Defendant: It is generally known in the colony that Mr. Wicking and Mr. Bain are very great friends, and I have heard it reported that Mr. Wicking is generally believed that Mr. Wicking has a monetary interest in the *China Mail*. I honestly believed so at the time and have had no reason to change my opinion. I saw Mr. Souza that afternoon again; I advised him to consult a solicitor. The following day I wrote him the letter produced. (Letter read offering the guarantee &c.) It contained the passage,—"For your friends Mr. Wicking and Mr. Bain, I shall deal with them in another place." Another note read, from Mr. Souza to Mr. Wicking, stating that Mr. Fraser-Smith had been threatening a suit, and an endorsement by Mr. Wicking in two portions, as already given. Received on June 8th letter read, of that date now put in (letter read, stating that he had taken advice in this matter and would not do anything for the sum that had been offered for it. I repeatedly called on you (Mr. Francis) and I and Mr. Souza saw you together afterwards, and the result was that Souza agreed to carry out the original agreement, that is, I mean, the agreement was finally accepted.

The Court at this point rose for till at one o'clock.

On resuming, the examination of defendant was continued.—The publication of the *Telegraph* was commenced on June 15th and has since been continued on these terms. Mr. Francis asked Mr. Wicking what he had done for the month. It was from his statement to me then that I asserted it was \$134. I have since been furnished by Mr. Lobeck with a more detailed estimate from the 15th of June to the 15th of August, to the effect that Mr. Wicking was employed as a shopman in a store in Queen's Road.

The Judge asked on what basis this which was spoken of as a "return" but was headed an "estimate" was made up. Mr. Francis said that another paper had been circulated that his Excellency had taken 60 or 60 copies daily of the *Telegraph*. Mr. F. Smith was informed, although he did not know what truth there was in the statement, that this report had originated with Mr. Bain. At the outset Mr. Smith found from the information he could get, and from the papers laid before him that Mr. Wicking, who had no interest in Mr. Souza whatever, or in the *Telegraph*, was deliberately trying to prove the starting of this paper, without mentioning his advice to Mr. de Souza and putting before Mr. de Souza the authority of Mr. Bain, estimates which were utterly fallacious. An extract from Mr. de Souza's books for the month of July showed that the total cost for that month was \$150. This had first been stated at \$134. And was he justified in concluding that these men were working together for the same end? Mr. Fraser-Smith found himself misled and misled with the intention of injuring him before the public, and he believed this article was a libel, and he was entitled to sue for it. He was in strict conformity with the statement of his Counsel, and the letters comprising to some extent the negotiations as they had been referred to and already spoken of by Mr. Francis. When the letter from Mr. Wicking to Mr. Francis was put in, Mr. Francis said it was precisely the same as that from the copy of which he had read. The Judge asked whether it was the same as that which was published in the paper. He said that he had read a copy of the paper before the jury should have a copy of the paper before them.

Mr. Francis obtained the original letter from Mr. Souza, and it was handed in.

Mr. Hayllar said he desired it to be read. The postscript was not given in the letter, and was most important.

Mr. Francis said that Mr. Wicking had read from did not embody the postscript. The Judge said the postscript should be read then.

The postscript was read, as follows:—"P. S.—Have you considered the cost of—paper, say, \$80; gas, say, \$30; and printing, say, \$24; rent of room, say, \$25; ink, say, \$150; paper for proofs, say, \$25; ink, say, \$25; circulars, say, \$10; use of type, petty charges, &c.—H. W."

Examination of defendant continued.—While I was conversing with Mr. Souza, after the receipt of this letter, Mr. Wicking's boy came in. He asked Mr. Souza to send him a copy of the letter. He asked if that was his estimate. He said, "Yes, I took it to Mr. Bain for his opinion." I said it was an idiotic estimate. Mr. Wicking added that he had strongly advised Mr. Souza to have nothing to do with the thing; I said simply ruin him if he undertakes it. Mr. Souza said, "I don't want to make any money out of the contract; I don't want to be ruined. I want a newspaper to bring business to my place." Mr. Francis said, "I am Mr. Souza's uncle."

Mr. Wicking said, "He will be ruined if he takes the contract." Mr. Wicking said to Mr. Souza, "I advise you not to have anything to do with it." I said to Mr. Wicking, "You know, I suppose, that if he does not carry out his contract, if he does not proceed with it, I will take legal proceedings." I don't mean to make a fool of being all Hongkong. I had extremely advised the *Telegraph* to publish a paper. I then lost my temper a little, and said I considered his interference

unintentionally and uncalled for; that the so-called estimate was worthy of the man who wrote it; that I would pay them every cent they could show that they had lost through their contract with me. Mr. Wicking, then suggested to Mr. Smith to undertake to print the paper for a week. I said such a proposal was ridiculous. He then repeated his advice not to have anything to do with it at all. Mr. Wicking then went outside. I went outside too; I felt inclined to do so.

Mr. Hayllar: I don't doubt.

Examination continued.—I told Mr. Wicking that he was a mean hound interfering in business that did not at all concern him. Mr. Wicking replied: "I am only doing this for you because I have an interest in him and have had something to say to his affairs and his father's." I told him I would publish the whole affair. He said I hope you will publish your estimate too. At that time I had not heard how Mr. Wicking came to be mixed up with it. I did not know then that he had any interest in the libel.

Mr. Francis: Had you any reason to believe that Mr. Wicking had any interest in the *China Mail*?
Question objected to.

Witness: I asked.—Why do you say this about your supposing that he represented the *China Mail*?
Defendant: It is generally known in the colony that Mr. Wicking and Mr. Bain are very great friends, and I have heard it reported that Mr. Wicking is generally believed that Mr. Wicking has a monetary interest in the *China Mail*. I honestly believed so at the time and have had no reason to change my opinion. I saw Mr. Souza that afternoon again; I advised him to consult a solicitor. The following day I wrote him the letter produced. (Letter read offering the guarantee &c.) It contained the passage,—"For your friends Mr. Wicking and Mr. Bain, I shall deal with them in another place." Another note read, from Mr. Souza to Mr. Wicking, stating that Mr. Fraser-Smith had been threatening a suit, and an endorsement by Mr. Wicking in two portions, as already given. Received on June 8th letter read, of that date now put in (letter read, stating that he had taken advice in this matter and would not do anything for the sum that had been offered for it. I repeatedly called on you (Mr. Francis) and I and Mr. Souza saw you together

